

# HOMOSEXUALITY and the EVIDENCE

F. Earle Fox

-The following is a summary of chapter V, The Hard Evidence, in a book by Earle Fox and David Virtue -- "Homosexuality: Good and Right in the Eyes of God?" (ISBN #0-945778-01-5) It deals with the destruction of honest discussion in western culture, and how, using the strategy of God Himself, we can restore integrity to truth-seeking in matters of public policy. And win the sexuality battles.

## COMPASSION & TRUTH

Every Christian is obligated unconditionally by God to love his neighbor just as he would himself -- to honor all other persons by listening care-fully to their side of the story.

But the compassion with which our culture is preoccupied is often a front behind which operates a betrayal of both truth-seeking and compassion at the deepest and most profound level -- the level of physical and spiritual life and death. The primary (but usually unspoken) obligation behind all other obligations, even behind the two great commandments to love God and neighbor, is the obligation to be truth-seekers and truth-speakers. All other obligations necessarily presuppose that one.

Love and compassion cannot happen in chaos. Love and compassion have the structure of truth, and are meaningless without it. When public debate is undermined by a betrayal of truth-seeking (when any of us want more to "be right" than to tell the truth), one can be sure that close behind is the father of lies and hidden obsessions with pride, power, and pleasure.

The following is offered knowing that it will cause pain, but knowing also that those most deeply hurt by wrong behavior are those caught in it. Only in truth are we set free. Compassion and freedom have the structure and backbone of truth. If we care, for example, about those with AIDS, as with any other problem, we will tell them the truth, and call them likewise to seek the truth.

## BEHAVIOR PROFILE

Studies abound describing homosexual culture -- by both pro- and anti-homosexual writers. While precise figures in such matters are inherently hard to obtain, nevertheless, the evidence results in a fairly clear picture of the kinds and frequencies of behavior engaged in by homosexual persons. The picture below is reinforced by the hours of TV coverage of the "gay rights" parades by C-Span and other organizations, readily available. The parades were hours and hours of sordid pornographic, obscene, and often blasphemous behavior.

The following figures are from *Are Gay Rights Right?* by Roger Magnuson, *Kinsey, Sex, and Fraud*, by Reisman and Eichel, and from *The Gay Agenda*, a video documentary by *The Report*. These in turn quote from a wide range of sources, mostly various public health reports. Two more recent books are *Homosexuality: a Freedom Too Far*, by Charles Socarides, and *Homosexuality and the Politics of Truth*, by Jeffrey Satinover, both psychiatrists. The evidence is clear and uncontradicted by reputable researchers.

Homosexual persons cannot use normal means of sexual gratification, and so substitute other body apertures not constructed for sexual penetration, to imitate sexual intercourse. But sex is primarily about relationship, not feeling, so the result is *not true sexual activity, but rather to imitate the feelings produced by sexual activity. The aim is feeling good, not good relationship, which is the goal of Godly sexuality.*

Behind homosexuality is the whole tragic "sex-revolution", the philosophy of "pan-sexuality", that there is no moral distinction between various forms of sexual activity -- including bestiality, fetishism, sado-masochism, etc. The pan-sexual means for accomplishing this "feeling good" is limited not to the same or opposite sex, but quite literally, only by one's opportunity and imagination. *Anything goes.*

Hence, homosexual culture centers around

great numbers of anonymous sexual encounters in almost every available circumstance, public or private. Sexual encounters happen in public parks and lavatories, anywhere an unseen fleeting moment can be had.

If research statistics are correct (they undoubtedly need periodic updating), 100% of male homosexuals engage in oral sex. Approximately 93% engage in anal sex, inserting the penis into the anus of the partner. 92% engage in "rimming", touching the anus of one's partner with one's tongue and inserting the tongue into the anus. 47% engage in "fisting", inserting one's fist into the partner's anus. 29% engage in "golden showers", urinating on each other. 17% engage in "scat", eating feces or rubbing feces on each other, and in "mud rolling", rolling on the floor where feces have been deposited. It is not uncommon for homosexual persons to declaw and defang a mouse or other rodent to be inserted into the colon for sexual pleasure.

The following report was sent to me by a person who had read this article:

I have had some experience with the mouse/ colon story in your article. I was Business Manager to the pathologists at St. Thomas Hospital here in... How well I remember the day our chief of surgical pathology, who was of the 'old school', worked on the gay case where the colon was penetrated by the mouse's claws. I will never forget how shocked he was. He was in total disbelief.

There is no more sense to justifying homosexual behavior than to permitting your child to play in the toilet. *Compassion* says "No". When the taboo against contact with our own human waste is broken, doors open to a tragic spiral downhill into further self-destructive behavior, reversing most of the standards of cleanliness which, over centuries, have been primarily responsible for our increase in lifespan (see below on lifespan).

"Gay" bath houses (the opposite of cleansing baths) are common in areas where the homosexual culture has found tolerance. The homosexual wanders undressed about a building with semi-darkened rooms in which sexual activities are engaged in anonymously and with large numbers of people. As described in *ARE GAY RIGHTS RIGHT?*:

The degree of promiscuity in the baths defies the imagination.... There is no indication, however, that any of the homosexual organizations has opposed or in any way showed interest in counteracting the effects of the baths.

The homosexual male averages from about 20 to 106 partners per year, and from 300 to 500 in a lifetime. 27% of homosexual males have had over 1000 sexual partners in a lifetime. AIDS victims taken as a group averaged 1100 different partners, some reporting as many as 20,000. Again, figures which need updating.

The typical homosexual person by all reports is *better* educated, has a *higher* income, and travels *more widely* than the average person. Homosexuality is not a matter of deprived education or income.

Homosexual persons are often sexually involved with children. The link between homosexuality and pederasty is well forged. As one prominent homosexual activist told two hundred educators at Harvard: "It is absurd to hire a teacher and then say, 'But don't bring yourself to work. Don't bring your values or world view into the classroom.'"

The pedophile NAMBLA (North American Man-Boy Love Assn.) often marches in homosexual rights parades.

\*\*\*\*\*

The following summarizes a veritable mountain of medical evidence concerning the massively disease-producing character of homosexual behavior. It is presented to provide a quick response to those who maintain that homosexuality is "mainstream America", God-given, or natural and healthy. All of this material can be fully and substantially documented.

## The BIBLICAL EVIDENCE

The primary issue is simply this:

**Homosexuality is good and right in the eyes of God -- True or False?**

It is illogical and irresponsible for Churches to raise the possibility of same-sex ordinations or the blessing of same-sex unions before having had an open and honest discussion of whether homosexuality is itself right to begin with. That discussion has been sidetracked and subverted in the Church, the public media, in education, and in civil government. People of moral and intellectual integrity on both sides will force the discussion into the open whether local "ground rules" allow such honesty or not.

The biological, medical, and psychological issues all hang on what God says about the matter. Whether God says "yes" or He says "no", that settles the moral issue.

If God has spoken to us through Scripture, then the answer is clear. Every time homosexuality is mentioned either in the Bible or by any of the early Church fathers, it is mentioned in unqualified condemnation. That tradition remains uninterrupted for nearly 4000 years until the 1950's.

The case for a heterosexual creation begins, not with Sodom, but in the creation story where all the basic conditions of life are set. God created Adam and Eve in His image -- male and female. The basic hetero-sexual (or better, hetero-gender) pattern of creation is never overturned at any point in Scripture or in mainstream orthodox Christian history (see *In the Image of God: Male & Female* - a 2 hour audio or video presentation from Emmaus Ministries).

The clear reading of Scripture is that homosexuality is a compulsive, addictive, and lethal condition (accurately described in Romans 1), one among the many idolatries (i.e. addictions) of the fallen world.

Attempts to reinterpret Scripture (the Sodom story, Romans 1, etc.) run aground quickly. The arguments fail to establish that the Bible even remotely condones homosexuality.

But even if the full force of the arguments is granted, the very best homosexual advocates can prove is that Paul *et al* did not understand our present situation and our "more clear thinking" on the matter -- so that the Biblical injunctions against homosexual behavior no longer have authority. The Bible, it is said, did not know of the faithful, monogamous relations homosexual persons have today. No one can seriously contend that the Bible is specifically pro-homosexual, only (at best) that the obvious anti-homosexual stance of the Bible "no longer counts".

## SCRIPTURE and SCIENCE

So we ask: Are there good reasons for overturning this Biblical heterosexual pattern? Have we indeed learned new information, discovered new evidence about the mind of God that would warrant the drastic step of throwing overboard four thousand years of Biblical belief, tradition, and what we, perhaps mistakenly, thought was the command of God?

Attempts to overturn the clear reading of Scripture are more often attempts to undermine, not discern, the will of God, and to pit "modern science" or "more recent findings", against Scripture, assuming that we have discovered new knowledge about human sexuality which overrides what we have assumed from Scripture to be true.

However, no empirical science can override what God tells us. If God has indeed spoken a "No", then that settles the moral question.

And, secondly, the alleged "new findings" simply do not exist. Not a single discovery of scientific repute suggests new knowledge overturning the Biblical standards. At no point ever has the "sex revolution" had even a teaspoon of scientific backup.

The empirical sciences cannot speak for God, but they can shed light on *why* God has

given His answer, and give helpful ideas on how practically to implement that answer. The command of God determines how we handle the available medical, biological, and psychological evidence.

We can ask, then, whether the witness of Scripture to the judgment of God lines up with the results of empirical science. Does homosexuality prove to be normal and natural, or compulsive and lethal?

The Biblical evidence has been so beclouded with incompetent and sometimes deceitful scholarship, that it is nearly impossible to hold a reasonable discussion. Those who point out the obvious Biblical position against homosexuality are pinned with bad labels, as though a compassionate God would never have said "no".

The strategy of truth-seekers, thus, must focus also on the hard evidence in other fields, and to ask: Does the empirical evidence suggest any reasons *why* God might have said "no"? The truth emerges that a compassionate God would never have said "yes".

## MEDICAL EVIDENCE:

There are many facts long known to the medical profession about homosexual behavior. Two are presented below, along with some more recent evidence on the average lifespan of homosexual persons.

1. Anal intercourse is a primary activity in which homosexual persons engage (apparently over 90% of homosexual men). It almost always tears the rectal tissue, allowing the introduction of fecal matter from the person's intestinal tract into his or her body. This is inherently (and obviously) disease producing.

2. The sperm introduced into the colon penetrates the colon walls, and then attacks the person's immune system, thus rendering him or her increasingly less able to fend off those very diseases which were introduced by the fecal matter.

These two facts have been known by the medical profession for decades. Any competent medical doctor will be able (and with moral integrity, willing) publicly to verify these statements concerning the so-called "gay bowels syndrome" and other conditions.

3. The result of these and other activities in which homosexual persons typically engage have resulted, by some estimates, in an average lifespan for the male homosexual person of under 50 years. That means an appalling average loss of nearly 40% of the male homosexual's lifespan. Females are only marginally better off.

4. When AIDS is factored in, the average lifespan drops another 7%.

If these statements are facts, one cannot call homosexual behavior "mainstream America", or just my neighbor living his life like I live mine, or that it is a God-given condition. Homosexuality is a pathological condition of the most serious order.

## PSYCHOLOGICAL EVIDENCE:

Homosexuality is a compulsive, lethal addiction. The evidence is so clear that it is hard to understand how the American public could have been persuaded to believe otherwise. It has taken a planned and deliberate public relations campaign to deceive the public into believing a lie (a campaign described by the leading homosexual strategists, Marshall Kirk and Hunter Madson, in *After the Ball: How America Will Conquer its Fear and Hatred of Gays in the 1990's*).

In the early 1980's, homosexual persons were told by medical people and others, including sympathizers (as in *The Band Played On*, by Randy Shilts), that if they continued to engage in anal intercourse and other activities in which body fluids were exchanged, that they would get AIDS and die.

Their response was typically, and continues to be, "You are not attacking my *behavior*, you are attacking my *being*." Large numbers of homosexual persons thus continued to engage in the lethal activities. We have watched hundreds of thousands of persons die of AIDS who would be alive today had they obeyed the advice offered. When one knowingly continues to pursue a behavior which will very likely result in death, that is obvious *prima facie* evidence for either a moral or a psychological a disorder.

What was felt to be the oppressive morality of the Bible is in fact life-protecting. God does not say "no" to be arbitrary or oppressive, but because He has mandated the fullness of life and knows better than anyone else how to attain

that state.

Because homosexuals identified their behavior with their "being", they could not stop the behavior that was killing them. "What I do is my being!" was and is a typical response. But when we identify any behavior at all with our being, stopping that behavior will seem like suicide. We put ourselves in a psychological hammer-lock, and so the behavior becomes compulsive and unchangeable by rational decision-making. That fact largely explains the massive, uncontrolled promiscuity and the extraordinary mortality rate of homosexual persons.

Furthermore, every single study by a practicing therapist working with homosexuals has said that homosexual persons can change their sexual orientation, including Alfred Kinsey, Masters and Johnson, John Money, and many others openly favorable to the homosexual lifestyle. Robert Spitzer, one of the APA masterminds behind removing homosexuality from the list of pathologies, has himself come to believe that at least some homosexual persons can change their orientation.

The Exodus ministry, an umbrella group for nearly 100 local ex-gay Christian ministries worldwide at which I have lectured since 1989, testifies to the reality of that truth. For two and a half years, I was director of Transformation Christian Ministries, a part of the Exodus network in Washington, DC. Homosexual persons can and do change to a normal heterosexual lifestyle. High motivation is necessary, and there are failures, but it can and is being done. (Persons interested in pursuing that freedom see information below on Exodus and NARTH.)

## BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE:

Despite the image projected by the media and by homosexualist groups, *there has not been one single study* from which we can rightly conclude that homosexuality is caused by genetic or biological conditions. Neither the study on the hypothalamus gland, the "gay gene", nor the twin studies yield evidence to conclude that homosexuality is primarily genetic or biological. In some cases, that admission was made by the authors, but unpublished by the media.

Evidence shows that the roots of homosexuality are varied and complex, including sociological and psychological conditioning, and personal responsibility.

In any event, a biological origin for a condition does not make it either right or wrong -- as, for example, with (perhaps) alcoholism, dyslexia, or other possibly inherited disabilities. We do not encourage alcoholics to have more alcohol, no matter how fulfilling it feels for them. We say, "No."

To the extent that the condition of homosexuality may have a "nudge" from biological sources, and to the extent that it is contrary to the will of God, it is perhaps open for repair and healing to the emerging techniques of genetic surgery.

Homosexuals are forced, against the evidence, to claim "biological origins" in order to cover the fact that they cannot stop what they are doing, to give justification for remaining in a condition which is compulsive and addictive, and to avoid having to face the hard and painful trip out of sexual dysfunction into true sexual maturity.

For the moral issue, we must logically and necessarily turn to God for the answer. Does homosexuality conform to His plan for creation? And for that we are driven back to Scripture as our primary evidence, as well as to an honest research of other relevant secondary evidence from the natural sciences.

## SOCIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

Pansexualists and homosexualists tell us that their bedroom behavior is no one's business but their own, but then insist that everyone *make* such behavior their business by *publicly* approving. For persons who violate (unconstitutional) "hate laws" by saying that homosexuality might be immoral, unhealthy, or sinful, homosexualists promote stiff penalties. They clearly believe it is everybody's business -- everybody's public obligation to approve on their terms.

Homosexual persons are at least six times more likely to be involved in child-molestation than heterosexual persons. And there is a strong association of drug and other unhealthy behavior with homosexuality. The social costs for helping persons with AIDS and for pursuing a cure are enormous, draining resources from

other needy causes -- when the spread of AIDS could be stopped overnight if the law of God were honored.

AIDS has become America's first *legally protected disease* in which standard epidemic controls are by law forbidden, such as tracking the sources of a disease. That is promoting, not health, but social disaster.

## CONCLUSIONS

In view of these facts, it is not reasonable to call the homosexual lifestyle "mainstream America", "God given", or "natural". The evidence tells us that homosexuality is an addictive, compulsive, and lethal disorder, and that promotion of homosexuality is criminally irresponsible. Only a malevolent God would create persons afflicted with such a condition. On that point, the witness of Scripture and of the empirical sciences are fully at one. Honest observation clearly gives us the reasons *why* God has said "no".

Arguments for accepting homosexuality do not stand up. It is not biologically or genetically inborn, God-given, or mainstream America. And the fact that (until disease sets in) it "feels good" is no proof that it is either God-approved or healthy.

It is thus not compassionate or helpful to persons caught in homosexuality to justify their addiction. That is a kindness that kills, just as "enablers" for alcoholics become accomplices to alcoholic addictions. It is irrational and irresponsible for churches to discuss "marital" celebration of behavior which God has forbidden and which is medically and emotionally self-destructive.

Clearly both the Church and civil government are obligated to base decisions on the facts of the matter, no matter how much pain truth causes persons emotionally entangled in the homosexual culture, either directly or indirectly. One does not choose to increase pain for anyone, but neither does one encourage others to avoid pain when that evasion will lead to the destruction of their life and the lives of others.

Persons who refuse to look at the evidence, or prevent evidence being presented in the public discussion, are not showing compassion toward homosexual persons. They are showing ignorance, fear, perhaps a deeply buried hostility to the welfare of persons threatened by an addictive condition over which they have lost control. That is criminal irresponsibility, not compassion.

We must choose whether we will continue to be "enablers" who only deepen a tragic situation, or whether we will resolve to speak the truth in love. The strategy which wins over deceit and manipulation is truth told in love -- and at any cost to ourselves.

\* \* \*

It matters little how many public debates we have if we avoid the primary issue:

Homosexuality is good and right  
in the eyes of God --

That statement is either True or False, and we need to know which, through patient, caring, and honest research and dialogue -- in order to make reasonable and helpful and compassionate decisions on the matter.

\*\*\*\*\*

### For follow-up, contact:

EXODUS International, a network of ministries helping people out of homosexuality - POB 540119, Orlando, FL 32854 Tel: 407 599-6872  
[www.exodus-international.org](http://www.exodus-international.org)  
[www.exodusyouth.net](http://www.exodusyouth.net)

Also NARTH (Nat'l Assoc. of Research & Therapy of Homosexuality), 16542 Ventura Blvd., #416, Encino, CA 91436, Tel: 818 789-4440.

[Note: the above article is copyrighted, but may be reproduced in its entirety with proper credits. The book, *Homosexuality: Good and Right in the Eyes of God?* of which this is a partial summary, is available on the website: <http://theRoadtoEmmaus.org> Or, call Emmaus Ministries (see box below)]

## Emmaus Ministries

A School of Christian Apologetics

2605 Schooley Dr., Alexandria, VA 22306  
Tel: 703 765-7862

E-Mail: [emmfox@juno.com](mailto:emmfox@juno.com)

ROAD to EMMAUS====>>> WEBSITE:  
<http://theRoadtoEmmaus.org>

Copyright 10/20/03, F. Earle Fox Shrtveid.lwp